E-ISSN: 2663-1067
P-ISSN: 2663-1075
IJHFS 2022; 4(2): 120-124
Received: 24-04-2022
Accepted: 03-06-2022

Shanika Raghvendra Deo
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Vijay Bahadur
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Anita Kerketta
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

VM Prasad
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Shanika Raghvendra Deo
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of nanochitosan and biocapsules on growth, yield and quality of red okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) var. Kashi Lalima

Shanika Raghvendra Deo, Vijay Bahadur, Anita Kerketta and VM Prasad

Abstract
An Experiment on okra was conducted throughout August to Nov 2021, in horticulture Research field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, and Technology & Sciences Prayagraj (U.P) India. The results of the investigation, concerning the performance of Nanochitosan and Biocapsule within the 9 treatment of Red Okra i.e. To Control (NPK): 100:50:50 Kg/ha, T_1 Biocapsule 250 ppm, T_2 Biocapsule 500 ppm, T_3 Nanochitosan 50 ppm, T_4 Nanochitosan 100 ppm, T_5 Nanochitosan 50 ppm + Biocapsule 250 ppm, T_6 Nanochitosan 50 ppm + Biocapsule 500 ppm, T_7 Nanochitosan 100 ppm + Biocapsule 250 ppm, T_8 Nanochitosan 100 ppm + Biocapsule 500 ppm. The seed obtained from source of IIVR Varanasi. To find out the best performance in terms of growth, yield and quality. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block design, were each treatment replicated thrice the results from the current investigation concluded that the treatment T_6 (Nanochitosan 50 ppm + Biocapsule 500 ppm) was recorded with maximum number of fruits (20.37 fruits/plant), with average fruit weight (14.22gm), and also average fruit yield (143.13 q/ha) with Benefit cost ratio of 2.88 whereas in terms of quality the treatment T_8 (Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500 ppm) was recorded with maximum TSS (3.94ºBx) and the treatment T_7 (Nanochitosan 100 ppm & Biocapsule 250 ppm) was recorded with maximum Ascorbic acid (21.38 mg/100 g).

Keywords: Nanochitosan, biocapsule, Kashi Lalima

1. Introduction
Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.], is an important vegetables grown in tropical and sub-tropical parts of the world. This crop is appropriate for cultivation as a garden crop as well as on commercial farms. It is grown commercially in india, Turkey, Iran, Wsetern Africa, Yugoslavia, Bangladesh, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Burma and Southern united states. India ranks first in the world with 3.5 million tonnes (70% of the total world production) of okra produced from over 0.35 million hectare land (FAOSTAT, 2018) [3].

Okra belongs to family Malvaceae with 2n=8x=72 or 144 and polyploidy in nature. There are 30 species under genus Abelmoschus in the old world and four in the new world. Out of them *Abelmoschus esculentus* is the solely species noted to be cultivated extensively as commercial vegetable. Being it is self-pollinated crop, incidence of outcrossing to an extent of 20% by insects has made an often cross pollinated crop. Being native to tropical Africa, it is widely cultivated in india. Uttar pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Orrisa, Maharastra, West Bengal and Karnataka are important okra producing states. Okra is valued for its delicious tender fruits. It is best source of iron and calcium. Okra accounts for 60% of export of fresh vegetables excluding potato, onion and garlic (Sharma and Arora, 1993).

The composition of edible portion of okra is given by (Goplan *et al*., 2007). Moisture 89.6g, Protein 1.9g, Fat 0.2g, Fiber 1.2g, Calories 35, Phosphorous 56mg, Sodium 6.9mg, Sulphur 30mg, Riboflavin 0.1mg, Oxalic acid 8mg, Minerals 0.7mg, Carbohydrates 6.4g, Calcium 66mg, Iron 0.35mg, Potassium 103mg, Thiamine 0.07mg, Nicotinic acid 0.6mg, Vitamin C 13mg, Magnesium 53mg and Copper 0.19mg.

Red okra (Kashi lalima) have reddish purple fruits that's tolerant to YVMV and OLCV. It's a medium tall plant and having short internodes. It is rich in anthocyanin and phenolics (IIVR).

Nanochitosan are one of the engineered nanomaterials with excellent physicochemical properties; additionally they are environmental friendly yet as bioactive such distinctive properties of the chitosan biopolymer are often increaed by using it in the form of nanoparticles. Chitosan nanoparticles are presently used to carry ions of fertilizers to be applied to plants. These are used to supply nutrients to plants. They have nano-dimensions ranging from 30 to 40nm and are able to hold varied ions because of their high surface area.

It has ditinctive properties like increase in production, ultra high absorption, Increase in photosynthesis, and significant expansion in the leaves surface area and also has broad antimicrobial activity against fungal pathogens.

Biocapsule, a bio-fertilizer technology developed by the IISR (Indian institute of spices Research). It uses a select combination of beneficial microorganisms such as Trichoderma, Pseudomonas and Bacillus. They form a mutually beneficial microorganism in a gletin capsule for its delivery to the crops for the enhanced soil nutrient solubilization, enhanced growth, and yield. One-gram capsules are very efficient as it contains the microbial population equivalent to what is present in a one-kg pack of powder-based biofertilizer or a one- litre bottle.

Materials and Methods

An Experiment on Red Okra was conducted throughout August to Nov 2021, in horticulture Research field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, and Technology & Sciences Prayagraj (U.P) India. The results of the investigation, concerning the performance of Nanochitosan and Biocapsule in the 9 treatments i.e. To Control (NPK): 100:50:50 Kg/ha, T_1 Biocapsule 250ppm, T_2 Biocapsule 500ppm, T_3 Nanochitosan 50ppm, T_4 Nanochitosan 100ppm, T_5 Nanochitosan 50ppm + Biocapsule 250ppm, T_6 Nanochitosan 50ppm + Biocapsule 500ppm, T_7 Nanochitosan 100ppm + Biocapsule 250ppm, T_8 Nanochitosan 100ppm + Biocapsule 500ppm obtained from source of IIVR VARANASI. To find out the best performance in terms of growth, yield and quality. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block design, were each treatment replicated thrice. The mean (maximum and minimum) temperature was 37.98ºC and 24.21ºC respectively, mean (maximum and minimum) relative humidity was 82.16 percent and 45.26 percent during the crop growing season. The experimental soil was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.1), low in organic carbon (0.318%), medium in available N (100 Kg/ha), medium available P (50 Kg/ha) and medium available K (50 Kg/ha). Fertilizers were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and murate of potash, respectively. The field beds were prepared and the seeds have been directly sown with respective spacing and covered by soil. The observation regarding yield were recorded after harvesting of crop.

Statistical analysis

The data recorded throughout the course of investigation were subjected to statistical analysis as per methodology of study of variance Fisher (1950). The significance and non-significance of the treatment impact were judged with the assistance of 'f' value (variance ratio) was compared with the table value at 5% level of significance. If calculated value exceeded then the value, the effect of considered to be significant. The significant difference between the means was tested against the critical difference at 5% level of significance.

Chemical analysis of soil

Composite soil samples are collected randomly before the layout of experiment was set so as to determine the soil properties initially. The soil samples are collected from 0-15 cm depth and were dried underneath shade, then fine-grained with the asistance of a wooden pestle and mortar then sieved through a 2 mm sieve and was then subjected to further analysis. The physical properties of soil were evaluated by using the Bouyoucos hydrometer method outlined by Bouyoucos (1927) and for organic carbon by Wet method Walkely and Black (1956) [13]. Available nitrogen was estimated by alkaline permanganate method by Subbiah and Asia (1956), available phosphorus by Clasen's Calorimeter method by Jackson (1967) [7], available potassium was determined by use of Flame Photometric method (Perur *et al*., 1973) [10].

Results and Discussions

Growth parameters

Data pertaining to growth parameters which are Days to first flower emergence, Plant height, Number of leaves (at last harvesting) were recorded and tabulated in Table-1.

Table 1: Performance of Nanochitosan and Biocapsules on Germination, First true leaf, first flower, Plant height, No of leaves (at last harvesting) of Okra.

Notation	Treatments	Days to 1st flower emergence	Plant Height (30 DAS)	Plant Height (60 DAS)	Plant Height (90 DAS)	No of leaves (at last harvesting)
T0	Control-NPK (RDF) – 00:50:50 Kg/ha	36.7	29.27	64.31	100.31	27.93
T1	Biocapsule 250ppm	38.43	30.27	65.21	101.57	26.89
T2	Biocapsule 500ppm	35.7	30.00	65.14	101.21	26.56
T3	Nanochitosan 50ppm	36.23	30.60	66.54	128.41	29.16
T4	Nanochitosan 100ppm	35.57	30.39	66.49	102.41	30.18
T5	Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm	35.13	30.93	67.11	102.93	29.02
T6	Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm	33.2	30.67	66.95	102.91	28.12
T7	Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm	35.5	34.40	71.00	109.71	28.56
T8	Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm	34.87	31.21	70.21	106.45	29.1
	F test	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**

	S. Ed (±)	0.76	0.79	0.82	0.80	0.72
	C.V.	1.62	3.14	1.50	0.95	1.55
	C.D at 5%	2.60	1.67	1.73	1.70	3.14

Days to flower emergence

The minimum number of days were recorded for first flower emergence in the treatment T6 Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm (33.2days) and the maximum number (38.43days) was found in the treatment T1 Biocapsule 250ppm. The days to first flower emergence plays an important role in deciding the earliness and lateness of crop in general. Khan *et al.*, 2017 [8] concluded that biofertilizer treatment increases days to first flower emergence. It might be due to higher amount of nitrogen, which ultimately leads to luxurious growth during vegetative phase ultimately delayed flowering. Gonzalez *et al.*, 2010 [5] concluded that Nanochitosan treatment decreases days to first flower emergence. It might be due to formation of Indole acetic acid and enhanced nitrogenase activity that leads to early flowering.

Plant Height (30DAS)

The maximum height of Plant after 30DAS (34.40cm) in the treatment T7 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm followed by the treatment T8 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm (31.21cm) and minimum height of plant was recorded in the treatment T0 Control NPK(RDF)-100:50:50 Kg/ha (29.27cm). The improvement in plant height might be due to enhanced photosynthetic and other metabolic activities for cell division and elongation.

Plant Height (60DAS)

The maximum height of Plant after 60 DAS (71.00cm) in the treatment T7 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250pm followed by the treatment T8 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm (70.21cm) and minimum height of plant was recorded in the treatment T0 Control NPK (RDF) – 100:50:50 Kg/ha (100.31cm). Stepanova *et al.*, 2007 [12] concluded that application of Nanochitosan caused an increase in average plant height that might be due to an increased level of Giberellic acid (GAs), as GA is responsible for shoot elongation.

Plant height (90DAS)

The maximum number of leaves (30.18) was recorded in the treatment T4 Nanochitosan 100ppm followed by the treatment T3 Nanochitosan 50ppm (29.16), T8 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 5000ppm (29.1), T5 Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm (29.02), T7 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm (28.56), which were on par with each other and the minimum number of leaves was recorded in the treatment T2 Biocapsule 500ppm (26.56). Dhawale *et al.*, 2011 concluded that the plant height may also be due to balance C:N ratio, abundant supply of available nutrients from soil with comparatively lesser retention in roots and more translocation to aerial parts for protoplasmic proteins and synthesis of other compounds.

Number of leaves (at last harvesting)

The maximum height of plant after 90DAS (109.71cm) was recorded in the treatment T7 Nanochitosan 1000ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm and minimum height of plant (1000.31cm) was recorded in the treatment T0 Control NPK (RDF)- 100:50:50 Kg/ha. Leaf number is not affected by the application of Nanochitosan. It is regulated by a complex interaction of various genes whose expression is modulated by growth hormones Gonzalez *et al.*, 2010 [5].

Yield parameters

Data pertaining to yield parameters which are Average number of fruits/plants, Average Fruit weight (g), Average fruit length (cm), Average fruit diameter, Average fruit yield per plant (g), Average fruit yield (q/ ha) were recorded and tabulated in Table-2.

Table 2: Performance of Nanochitosan and Biocapsules on yield of okra

Notation	Treatment	Average number of pod per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Average fruit length(cm)	Average fruit diameter (cm)	Average fruit yield per plant (g/plant)	Average fruit yield q/ha
T0	Control-NPK (RDF) – 100:50:50 Kg/ha	15.58	7.87	9.96	1.62	122.65	61.33
T1	Biocapsule 250ppm	15.73	9.34	10.55	1.62	146.93	73.46
T2	Biocapsule 500ppm	18.99	12.11	9.78	1.51	229.99	114.99
T3	Nanochitosan 50ppm	19.08	11.75	8.95	1.99	224.20	112.10
T4	Nanochitosan 100ppm	18.34	11.39	10.34	2.28	208.95	104.48
T5	Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm	19.75	13.18	11.34	1.85	260.44	130.22
T6	Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm	20.37	14.22	11.46	1.79	286.27	143.13
T7	Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm	20.14	13.03	10.71	1.75	265.39	132.69
T8	Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm	20.18	13.89	11.52	1.72	280.21	140.10
	F-Test	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**
	SE.d(±)	0.41	0.25	0.17	0.13	6.65	3.33
	C.V.	0.86	0.54	0.38	0.28	14.10	7.05
	C.D at 5%	2.67	2.63	2.07	9.15	3.62	3.64

Average number of pod/plants

The maximum average number of pod per plant (20.37) in the treatment T7 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm and minimum average number of pod per plant (15.58) was recorded in the treatment T0 Control NPK (RDF) 100:50:50Kg/ha. The number of pod per plant was higher in nanochitosan applied plants than control due to increase in the plant height, resulting from increase in the fruit bearing nodes in okra Mondal *et al.,* 2012 [9].

Average Pod weight (g)

The maximum average pod weight (14.22g) in the treatment T6 Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm and minimum average pod weight (7.87g) was recorded in the treatment T0 Control NPK (RDF)100:50:50Kg/ha. The higher pod weight might be due to accelerated mobility of photosynthates from the source to the sink as influenced by the growth hormone, released or synthesized due to biofertilizers Susan *et al.,* 1995.

Average fruit length (cm)

The maximum pod length (11.52cm) in the treatment T8 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm followed by the treatment T6 Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm (11.46cm),T5 Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm (11.34cm), which were on par with each other and the minimum length of pod (8.95cm). was recorded in the treatment T3 Nanochitosan 50ppm. The biofertilizer treatment increases the pod length. This finding was concluded by Khan *et al.,* 2017 [8]. It might be due to moisture and nutrient absorption from the soil. It is due to the effect of increase in concentration of auxin supply with higher levels of nitrogen brought about increase in the pod length and also due to nitrogen availability.

Average fruit diameter (cm)

The maximum diameter of pod (2.28cm) in the treatment T4 Nanochitosan 100ppm and the minimum diameter of pod (1.51cm) was recorded in the treatment T2 Biocapsule 500ppm. The pod diameter was higher in chitosan applied plant than control. The pod diameter was higher in chitosan applied plant than control. This finding was concluded by Mondal *et al.,* 2012 [9]. The nanochitosan treatment increases might be due to enhanced photosynthesis accumulation of carbohydrates and favourable effect on vegetative growth which increased the pod diameter.

Average fruit yield per plant (g)

The maximum yield per plant (286.27g) in the treatment T6 Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm and the minimum average yield per plant (122.65g) was recorded in the treatment T0 Control-NPK (RDF)-100:50:50 Kg/ha. The yield attributes and fruit yield increased significantly with the increasing concentration of chitosan upto a certain level. It might be due to higher production of leaf, leaf area and height of plant, branches, flower and fruits produced per plant. Increased foliage might have resulted in production of more photosynthates enhancing the yield potential. Mondal *et al.,* 2012 [9].

Average fruit yield (q/ ha)

The maximum yield (143.13q/ha) was recorded in the treatment T6 Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm and the minimum average yield per hectare (61.33q) was recorded in the treatment T0 Control-NPK (RDF)-100:50:50Kg/ha. Ramakrishnan and Selvakumar 2012 showed that Azotobacter and Azospirillum treated plants had the highest chlorophyll and protein contents. As, N is the chief constituent of Protein, Essential for Protoplasm formation, which leads to cell enlargement, cell division and ultimately resulting in increased plant growth and fruit yield.

Quality parameters

Data pertaining to quality parameters which are Total soluble solids and Ascorbic acid were recorded and tabulated in Table-3.

Table 3: Performance of Nanochitosan and Biocapsules on Quality of Okra.

Notation	Treatments	TSS (Total Soluble Solid)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)
T0	CONTROL-NPK (RDF) – 100:50:50 Kg/ha	2.82	17.42
T1	Biocapsule 250ppm	3.35	16.75
T2	Biocapsule 500ppm	3.09	16.50
T3	Nanochitosan 50ppm	2.87	19.91
T4	Nanochitosan 100ppm	1.81	19.33
T5	Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm	2.96	17.62
T6	Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm	3.06	19.50
T7	Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm	3.01	21.38
T8	Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm	3.94	19.79
	F test	**S**	**S**
	S. Ed (±)	0.23	0.24
	C.V.	0.50	0.52
	C.D at 5%	9.65	1.60

Total Soluble solids (ºBx)

The maximum total soluble solids (3.94) in the treatment T8 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm followed by the treatment T1 Biocapsule 250ppm (3.35) and the minimum total soluble solids (1.81) was recorded in the treatment T4 Nanochitosan 100ppm. The nanochitosan treatment increases the TSS this finding was concluded by Lustriance *et al.,* 2018. It might be due to the fact that Nanochitosan is Polysaccharide i.e sugar which leads to an increament of TSS.

Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)

The maximum Ascorbic acid (21.38mg/100g) in the treatment T7 Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm and the minimum Ascorbic acid was recorded in the treatment T2 Biocapsule 500ppm (16.50mg/100g). The

nanochitosan treatment increases the TSS this finding was concluded by Lustriance *et al.,* 2018. The biofertilizer treatment increases the Ascorbic acid this finding was concluded by Amrinder *et al.,* 2020 [1]. It might be due to the application of biofertilizer which helps in improving the physical, chemical and biological changes in plants that results in increament of Ascorbic acid.

Seed parameters

Data pertaining to seed parameters which is seed index was recorded and tabulated in Table-4.

Table 4: Performance of Nanochitosan and Biocapsules on Seed parameter of Okra.

Treatments	Seed Index (wt of 100 seeds)
CONTROL-NPK (RDF) – 100:50:50 Kg/ha	5.11
Biocapsule 250ppm	5.82
Biocapsule 500ppm	6.12
Nanochitosan 50ppm	5.94
Nanochitosan 100ppm	5.61
Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm	5.62
Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm	6.84
Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm	6.21
Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm	6.73
F test	**S**
S. Ed (±)	0.14
C.V.	0.31
C.D at 5%	3.00

Seed index (wt of 100 seeds)

The maximum Seed index (6.84g) in the treatment T6 Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm and the minimum seed index (5.11g) was recorded in the treatment T0 Control NPK (RDF)-100:50:50 Kg/ha. The biofertilizer treatment increases number of seed per pod, Seed index, Seed yield per plant. Thus, it indicates that the process of application of biofertilizers may be better option for seed growers to achieve seed yield and yield components Khan *et al.,* 2017 [8].

Summary and Conclusion

The results from the present investigation concluded that the treatment T6 (Nanochitosan 50ppm & Biocapsules 250ppm) was recorded with maximum number of fruits (20.37 fruits/plant), with average fruit weight (14.22g), and also average fruit yield (143.13q)with cost Benefit Ratio of 2.88 whereas in terms of quality the treatment T8 (Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 500ppm) was recorded with maximum TSS (3.94ºBx) and the treatment T7 (Nanochitosan 100ppm & Biocapsule 250ppm) was recorded with maximum Ascorbic acid (21.38mg/100g).

References

1. Amrinder Singh, Dilip Singh Kachwaya, Ravinder Singh. Effect of Biofertilizers on growth, yield and fruit quality of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda, 2020.
2. Bouyoucos GJ. The hydrometer as a new method for mechanical, analysis of soils. soil sci. 1952;23:343-350.
3. Faostat. 2008 (http://ww.fao.org).
4. Gopalan C, Rama Sastri BV, Balasurbramanian S. Nutritive value of Indian foods. National Institute of Nutition (NIN), ICMR, 2007.
5. Gonzalez P, Neilson RP, Lenihan JM, Drapek RJ. Global patterns in the vulnerability of ecosystem to vegetation shifts due to climate change. Glob Ecol Biogeogr. 2010;10:1-14.
6. IIVR. (https://iivr.icar.gov.in).
7. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis Prentice Hall *inc. England cliffs*, New jerry, 1973, 49.
8. Khan Insaf, Devendra, Jat Bhanwar Lal. Effects of biofertilizers on plant growth and yield characters of *Pisum sativum* L. Adv. Res. J Crop Improv. 2017;8(1):99-108.
9. Mondal MMA, Malek MA, Puteh AB, Ismail MR, Ashrafuzzaman M, Naher L. Impact of foliar application of chitosan on growth and yield in Okra. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2012;6(5):918-921.
10. Perur NG, Subramanaim CK, Mukhar GR, Roy HF. Soil fertility evaluation serve Indian farmer deptt. Agri (Mysore) and univ. Agri. Sci. Bangalore, 1973.
11. Subbaia BV, Asija CL. Rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soil. Current sci. 1956;25:415-426.
12. Stepanova AN, Yun J, Likhacheva AV, Alonso JM. Multilevel interactions between ethylene and auxin in Arabidopsis roots. Plant Cell. 2007;19:2169-2185.
13. Walkely A, Black GA. Critical exam of rapid method for determining organic carbon in soils, impact of variation in biological process condition and inorganic soil constituents. Soil science. 1956;251:63.